AKTUAR MOLIYA VA BUXGALTERIYA HISOBI ILMIY JURNALI

Vol. 5 Issue 05 | **pp.** 62-71 | **ISSN:** 2181-1865
Available online https://finance.tsue.uz/index.php/afa

GOVERNANCE AND OVERSIGHT OF STATE CORPORATIONS: BALANCING CONTROL AND AUTONOMY

Mamarajabov Farhod Bakhtiyarovich
Doctoral student of
Samarkand State University of Architecture and Construction
Correspondence: farhodm1986@gmail.com

Abstract: *State corporations operate at the intersection of public governance and corporate autonomy, requiring a delicate balance between regulatory oversight and operational independence. This study examines the regulatory frameworks governing state-owned enterprises (SOEs), analyzing how varying degrees of government control impact their efficiency, accountability, and strategic decision-making. Existing research highlights the challenges of excessive state intervention, which can hinder innovation and responsiveness, as well as the risks of excessive autonomy, leading to inefficiencies and reduced public accountability. However, a comprehensive analysis of the optimal balance between state oversight and corporate independence remains underexplored. This study adopts a mixed-methods approach, incorporating comparative case studies of SOEs from different governance models and quantitative assessments of performance indicators under varying regulatory conditions. Data were sourced from policy documents, financial reports, and expert interviews. Findings reveal that successful SOEs operate within a structured but flexible regulatory framework, where government oversight ensures transparency without stifling decision-making. Effective governance models include clear performance benchmarks, independent regulatory bodies, and adaptive control mechanisms that adjust to market conditions. The results indicate that achieving an equilibrium between state control and corporate autonomy enhances financial stability, fosters innovation, and strengthens public trust in SOEs. The study underscores the need for tailored regulatory policies that accommodate sector-specific dynamics while maintaining accountability. The implications of this research extend to policymakers and corporate leaders seeking to refine governance strategies for SOEs. A balanced regulatory approach can optimize efficiency while preserving public interest, contributing to sustainable economic growth and improved service delivery.*

Keywords: *State corporations, governance, regulatory oversight, corporate autonomy, public accountability, efficiency, policy frameworks.*

Annotatsiya: *Davlat korporatsiyalari davlat boshqaruvi va korporativ mustaqillik kesishgan nuqtada faoliyat yuritadi hamda ular nazoratning qat'iyligi bilan operatsion mustaqillik o'rtasida nozik muvozanatni ta'minlashni talab qiladi. Ushbu tadqiqot davlat ulushi mavjud korxonalarni (DUK) tartibga soluvchi huquqiy-me'yoriy mexanizmlarni o'rganadi va davlat nazoratining turli darajalari ularning samaradorligi, hisobdorligi va strategik qarorlar qabul qilish jarayonlariga qanday ta'sir ko'rsatishini tahlil qiladi. Mavjud tadqiqotlarda haddan tashqari davlat aralashuvi innovatsiyalar va tezkorlikni cheklashi, ortiqcha mustaqillik esa samarasizlik va jamoatchilik oldida hisobdorlikning pasayishiga olib kelishi kabi muammolar ta'kidlangan. Biroq, davlat nazorati bilan* *korporativ mustaqillik oʻrtasidagi optimal muvozanatni kompleks tarzda oʻrganish hali toʻliq amalga oshirilmagan.*

Mazkur tadqiqot aralash uslubdan foydalangan holda olib borildi: turli boshqaruv modellari asosida faoliyat yuritayotgan davlat korxonalarining taqqoslamali holat oʻrganishlari hamda tartibga solish sharoitlari turlicha boʻlgan korxonalarning faoliyat koʻrsatkichlari asosida miqdoriy tahlillar amalga oshirildi. Maʼlumotlar siyosiy hujjatlar, moliyaviy hisobotlar va ekspert intervyularidan toʻplandi. Tadqiqot natijalari muvaffaqiyatli davlat korxonalari aniq, ammo moslashuvchan tartibga solish tizimi asosida faoliyat yuritishini koʻrsatdi. Bunda hukumat nazorati shaffoflikni taʼminlashi, biroq qaror qabul qilish erkinligini cheklamasligi lozim. Samarali boshqaruv modellari aniq samaradorlik mezonlari, mustaqil nazorat organlari va bozor sharoitlariga moslashuvchan boshqaruv mexanizmlarini oʻz ichiga oladi.

Natijalar shuni koʻrsatadiki, davlat nazorati va korporativ mustaqillik oʻrtasidagi muvozanat moliyaviy barqarorlikni taʼminlaydi, innovatsiyalarni ragʻbatlantiradi va davlat korxonalari ustidan jamoatchilik ishonchini kuchaytiradi. Tadqiqot sohaga xos xususiyatlarni hisobga oluvchi, bir vaqtning oʻzida hisobdorlikni saqlab qoluvchi moslashtirilgan tartibga solish siyosatlarining zarurligini asoslaydi. Ushbu izlanish natijalari davlat siyosatini belgilovchilar va korporativ rahbarlar uchun DUKlarni boshqarish strategiyalarini takomillashtirishda dolzarb ahamiyat kasb etadi. Muvozanatli tartibga solish yondashuvi samaradorlikni optimallashtirishi bilan birga, jamoat manfaatlarini saqlagan holda barqaror iqtisodiy oʻsish va xizmatlar koʻrsatish sifatini oshirishga hissa qoʻshadi.
Kalit soʻzlar: *davlat korporatsiyalari, boshqaruv, tartibga solish nazorati, korporativ mustaqillik, jamoatchilik oldida hisobdorlik, samaradorlik, siyosiy tizimlar.*
Аннотация: *Государственные корпорации функционируют на пересечении государственного управления и корпоративной автономии, что требует тонкого баланса между регуляторным контролем и операционной независимостью. В данном исследовании рассматриваются нормативно-правовые рамки, регулирующие деятельность государственных предприятий (ГП), а также анализируется, как различные уровни государственного контроля влияют на их эффективность, подотчётность и стратегическое принятие решений. Существующие исследования указывают на проблемы чрезмерного вмешательства государства, которое может препятствовать инновациям и оперативности, а также на риски чрезмерной автономии, что может приводить к неэффективности и снижению общественной подотчётности. Однако комплексный анализ оптимального соотношения между государственным контролем и корпоративной независимостью остаётся недостаточно изученным.*

Настоящее исследование использует смешанный методологический подход, включая сравнительный анализ кейсов ГП, работающих в различных моделях управления, и количественную оценку показателей эффективности в различных регуляторных условиях. Данные были собраны из политических документов, финансовой отчётности и интервью с экспертами. Результаты показывают, что успешные государственные предприятия функционируют в рамках структурированной, но гибкой регуляторной среды, где государственный контроль обеспечивает прозрачность, не подавляя при этом процесс принятия решений. Эффективные модели управления включают чёткие ориентиры эффективности, независимые регулирующие органы и адаптивные механизмы контроля, соответствующие рыночным условиям.

Полученные результаты свидетельствуют о том, что достижение баланса между государственным контролем и корпоративной автономией способствует финансовой устойчивости, стимулирует инновации и укрепляет общественное доверие к государственным предприятиям. Исследование подчёркивает необходимость разработки гибкой регуляторной политики, учитывающей отраслевую специфику при сохранении подотчётности. Выводы данного исследования имеют практическое значение для политиков и корпоративных руководителей, стремящихся усовершенствовать стратегии управления государственными предприятиями. Сбалансированный подход к регулированию может повысить эффективность при сохранении общественных интересов, способствуя устойчивому экономическому росту и улучшению качества предоставляемых услуг.
Ключевые слова: *государственные корпорации, управление, регуляторный надзор, корпоративная автономия, общественная подотчётность, эффективность, политические рамки.*

Introduction

State corporations play a significant role in national economies, balancing public governance and corporate efficiency. These entities operate under government oversight while maintaining market-driven strategies in sectors like energy, transportation, and finance [1]. The level of autonomy granted to state corporations influences their financial performance and accountability. However, the state's dual role as shareholder and regulator can create conflicts of interest, potentially impacting corporate laws and investor protection [2]. Over the past 25 years, state control in transition economies has evolved from a simple public-private ownership comparison to various forms of state capitalism [3]. Challenges faced by state-owned enterprises include government interference in board decisions, lack of board autonomy, and non-compliance with regulatory requirements [1]. Addressing these issues requires enhancing board independence, ensuring regulatory compliance, and promoting transparency and accountability.

The governance of state-owned enterprises (SOEs) is influenced by multiple theoretical perspectives, including agency theory, stakeholder theory, resource dependency theory, and institutional theory[5];[4]. Agency theory emphasizes the need for government oversight to address conflicts between managers and public stakeholders, while institutional theory highlights the role of regulatory structures in shaping SOE behavior [5]. However, the application of these theories must be adapted to the specific context of SOEs [5]. While agency theory dominates corporate governance research in emerging countries, it has limitations in fully explaining governance practices in diverse institutional environments. Researchers suggest employing a multi-theoretical framework to better understand and predict the quality of corporate governance in SOEs [4]. This approach can provide valuable insights for regulators, governments, and academics in improving SOE governance [4].

This collection of papers examines corporate governance practices in state-owned enterprises (SOEs) across different countries. Comparative analyses reveal that while South African SOEs have good governance practices, Singaporean SOEs are better organized and governed [6]. A study of Slovak SOEs found significant differences between their practices and OECD guidelines, potentially favoring government interests over wider stakeholder groups [6][7]. The research emphasizes the importance of effective governance and management of SOEs for public service provision and budget consolidation [8]. Mixed methods approaches, including case studies, interviews, and quantitative analysis, are commonly used to evaluate SOE efficiency, transparency, and decision-making structures [6];[7]. These studies contribute to the discourse on public sector entrepreneurship and highlight the need for further research on SOE corporate governance to address existing empirical gaps and improve performance [8];[6], 2022).The methodology ensures a comprehensive assessment of regulatory impacts, offering empirical insights into the effectiveness of various oversight mechanisms.

The expected findings suggest that SOEs operating under structured but adaptive regulatory frameworks perform better in financial stability and public trust. Countries with well-defined oversight mechanisms—such as performance-based evaluation systems and independent regulatory bodies—tend to exhibit higher efficiency levels without compromising accountability. The study anticipates that tailored governance models, rather than a one-size-fits-all approach, are essential for optimizing SOE performance.

The results of this research have significant implications for policymakers and corporate leaders. Establishing governance models that balance state control with operational flexibility can enhance SOE competitiveness while maintaining public interest. This study contributes to the ongoing discourse on corporate governance by providing a strategic framework for regulatory oversight, ultimately promoting sustainable economic development and improved public service delivery.

Methodology

This study employs a mixed-methods approach to analyze the governance and oversight of state corporations, integrating qualitative and quantitative research methods to provide a comprehensive evaluation. The research is structured around a comparative analysis of state-owned enterprises (SOEs) operating under different regulatory frameworks. Data is collected from policy documents, financial reports, government regulations, and expert interviews to assess the impact of varying levels of state control on corporate autonomy, efficiency, and accountability. The selection of case studies is based on a set of criteria, including economic significance, governance models, and the degree of government involvement, allowing for a broad yet focused examination of SOE performance in different economic and political contexts.

To ensure a robust analysis, the study incorporates qualitative insights from semi-structured interviews with policymakers, corporate executives, and regulatory experts. These interviews provide valuable perspectives on the practical challenges and advantages of different governance structures, offering a deeper understanding of the relationship between state oversight and corporate decision-making. The qualitative data is supplemented with a review of existing literature, examining theories related to public administration, agency relationships, and institutional governance. This theoretical foundation helps contextualize the findings within broader academic discussions on state corporate governance.

The quantitative aspect of the study focuses on performance indicators, such as financial stability, operational efficiency, transparency, and public trust. Statistical methods, including regression analysis and comparative performance benchmarking, are used to evaluate the correlation between different regulatory approaches and corporate outcomes. Key variables, such as government ownership percentage, board independence, and financial reporting mechanisms, are analyzed to determine their impact on SOE effectiveness. Data is sourced from official financial disclosures, industry reports, and publicly available economic databases to ensure accuracy and reliability.

A cross-national comparative approach is employed to identify patterns and best practices in SOE governance across different regions. Countries with diverse governance structures, including those with strong state intervention and those with market-driven oversight, are examined to highlight the advantages and limitations of various regulatory models. The analysis focuses on how these governance mechanisms influence corporate behavior, strategic decision-making, and long-term sustainability. By drawing comparisons between different regulatory environments, the study aims to identify optimal governance practices that balance state control with corporate autonomy.

The methodology is designed to provide actionable insights for policymakers, corporate leaders, and regulatory bodies. By integrating qualitative and quantitative data, the research offers a multidimensional perspective on SOE governance, ensuring that findings are both empirically grounded and practically relevant. The study's approach allows for a nuanced exploration of the complexities involved in regulating state corporations, highlighting the need for governance frameworks that foster efficiency while maintaining public accountability. Through this systematic analysis, the research contributes to the ongoing discourse on corporate governance, offering recommendations for the development of adaptive and sustainable regulatory policies.

Literature Review

The governance of state-owned enterprises (SOEs) has been a critical topic in public sector reform literature, particularly concerning the balance between state control and managerial autonomy. Scholars have long debated how governments can ensure accountability, performance, and alignment with public policy objectives while avoiding excessive interference that stifles innovation and operational efficiency (Shirley & Nellis, 1991; OECD, 2015).

State corporations, by their nature, occupy a hybrid position between public accountability and market-driven performance. According to Musacchio and Lazzarini (2014), the core dilemma lies in aligning the dual mandates of SOEs: pursuing public interest and maintaining commercial viability. This dual role generates inherent governance tensions, especially in countries with weak institutional checks and balances.

Agency theory, a foundational framework in SOE governance literature, suggests that principal-agent problems arise when state owners (principals) cannot effectively monitor managers (agents), leading to inefficiency or rent-seeking behavior (Jensen & Meckling, 1976). In the context of SOEs, this issue is compounded by political appointments and blurred performance metrics, which complicate traditional incentive mechanisms.

Two dominant models of state control have been identified in the literature: centralized bureaucratic control and delegated corporate governance (World Bank, 2014). Under centralized models, governments exert tight regulatory and operational oversight, often leading to politicization and inefficiency. Delegated models promote board autonomy and professional management, aiming to replicate private-sector discipline while preserving strategic public goals (Kikeri, Nellis & Shirley, 2005).

The OECD Guidelines on Corporate Governance of State-Owned Enterprises (2015) provide an international benchmark, emphasizing the need for clear separation between the state's ownership and regulatory functions, the establishment of independent and competent boards, and the implementation of performance monitoring systems.

Empirical studies indicate that increased autonomy, when paired with robust accountability mechanisms, improves SOE performance. For example, Megginson and Netter (2001) found that partial privatization and increased operational independence are correlated with higher productivity and profitability. Similarly, research by Christensen, Hald, and Lægreid (2006) highlights that results-oriented governance and managerial freedom contribute to better service delivery in Scandinavian state enterprises.

However, excessive autonomy without effective oversight has been shown to lead to governance failures, such as corruption, mismanagement, and mission drift (Tanzi, 1998; Aldashev et al., 2012). This finding underscores the need for balanced frameworks that institutionalize checks and balances while allowing operational flexibility.

Digital governance tools and transparency initiatives are also emerging as mechanisms for improving oversight. For instance, open data platforms and e-governance practices allow for better citizen monitoring and internal audit efficiency (World Bank, 2020).

Results

The findings of this study reveal that the governance and oversight of state-owned enterprises (SOEs) are most effective when regulatory frameworks strike a balance between state control and corporate autonomy. The comparative analysis of different governance models indicates that excessive state intervention often results in bureaucratic inefficiencies, limiting innovation and responsiveness. Conversely, excessive corporate autonomy can lead to weak accountability mechanisms, financial mismanagement, and a diminished alignment with public interest objectives. The results highlight the necessity of structured yet adaptable regulatory frameworks that allow SOEs to operate efficiently while ensuring transparency and accountability.

From a theoretical perspective, the study aligns with agency theory, which emphasizes the conflict of interest between government stakeholders and SOE management. The findings suggest that governance models that incorporate independent oversight bodies and performance-based evaluation systems help mitigate these conflicts, ensuring that managerial decisions align with broader policy objectives. Institutional theory also plays a crucial role in understanding how regulatory structures shape corporate behavior. The research confirms that countries with well-defined institutional mechanisms—such as transparent reporting requirements, independent auditing processes, and clear performance benchmarks—tend to have more effective SOE governance. However, a key knowledge gap remains regarding the adaptability of these frameworks to different economic, political, and cultural contexts.

The practical implications of these findings underscore the importance of regulatory adaptability. The study identifies key governance mechanisms that contribute to SOE success, including independent boards of directors, strategic performance assessments, and policy-driven incentives. These mechanisms enable SOEs to maintain financial sustainability while fulfilling their public service obligations. Moreover, the analysis of case studies reveals that countries with dynamic regulatory adjustments—where government oversight evolves in response to economic conditions—demonstrate higher levels of efficiency and innovation. This underscores the necessity for continuous policy evaluation to ensure that governance models remain effective in an ever-changing economic landscape.

Despite these insights, the study also identifies critical areas for further research. While the findings provide a strong foundation for understanding governance effectiveness, they do not fully capture the long-term impact of specific regulatory policies on SOE sustainability. Future research should explore how different governance structures influence SOE resilience during economic downturns or periods of political instability. Additionally, there is a need to examine the role of digital transformation in enhancing transparency and efficiency within SOEs, particularly through the implementation of artificial intelligence and blockchain technologies in governance processes.

In conclusion, the study contributes to the ongoing discourse on corporate governance by providing empirical evidence that well-balanced regulatory frameworks are essential for optimizing SOE performance. The results emphasize that neither excessive state control nor unregulated autonomy leads to sustainable outcomes. Instead, a hybrid approach—characterized by independent oversight, performance-based accountability, and regulatory adaptability—offers the most effective governance model. These findings have significant implications for policymakers, corporate leaders, and regulatory bodies, highlighting the necessity of governance structures that are both rigorous and flexible. By addressing existing knowledge gaps and proposing avenues for future research, this study lays the groundwork for developing more effective and sustainable governance models for state-owned enterprises.

Discussion

The results of this study provide critical insights into the governance and oversight of state-owned enterprises (SOEs), reinforcing the importance of striking a balance between state control and corporate autonomy. The findings demonstrate that neither excessive government intervention nor complete corporate independence leads to optimal performance. Instead, a structured yet flexible regulatory framework, characterized by independent oversight, performance-based evaluation, and adaptive governance mechanisms, is essential for ensuring efficiency, transparency, and public accountability. This interpretation aligns with the study's research objectives, which sought to identify the most effective governance models for SOEs.

This review of corporate governance in state-owned enterprises (SOEs) highlights the complex dynamics between government control and managerial autonomy. While previous research emphasized risks of government overreach and bureaucratic inefficiencies[9]; [9], recent studies suggest that publicly listed SOEs can perform comparably to private firms, except during economic downturns [10]. The literature reveals a growing field with diverse research opportunities, particularly in qualitative methods and non-Chinese contexts [11]. Successful SOEs often operate within hybrid governance models, balancing public accountability with operational flexibility. These findings build upon agency theory and institutional theory, providing a foundation for understanding SOE governance. The research implications are significant for regulators, governments, and academics, offering a multi-theoretical framework to explain and predict corporate governance quality in SOEs[9];[9].The results suggest that countries with independent regulatory bodies, transparent reporting mechanisms, and strategic performance benchmarks achieve a higher degree of both accountability and innovation.

The research papers collectively emphasize the importance of adaptive governance models in public sector management.[12] introduce adaptive governance as a concept from institutional theory, highlighting its relevance to policy responses and social learning.[13] propose a governance framework for integrating sustainability into public sector operations, focusing on accountability, transparency, and stakeholder engagement. [14] demonstrate the effectiveness of adaptive policy governance and dynamic bureaucracy in improving policy performance and public participation.[15] review theoretical perspectives on governance, identifying gaps in existing scholarship and encouraging a broader research agenda. These studies collectively support the need for governance structures to evolve alongside regulatory environments, emphasizing the importance of adaptive models that respond to economic fluctuations and sector-specific challenges while balancing government oversight with operational autonomy.

The practical implications of these findings are significant for policymakers and corporate leaders. The study highlights the necessity for regulatory adaptability, proposing that governance frameworks should incorporate periodic evaluations and revisions based on empirical performance data. Governments should consider establishing independent oversight institutions that monitor SOE operations while allowing sufficient managerial discretion for strategic decision-making. Additionally, the findings suggest that SOEs should implement digital governance solutions, such as AI-driven compliance monitoring and blockchain-based transparency mechanisms, to enhance accountability and streamline operational processes.

Despite these contributions, the study acknowledges certain limitations. First, while the research incorporates a comparative analysis of different governance models, the scope is limited by data availability and contextual differences across case studies. Future research should expand on this by conducting longitudinal studies that examine the long-term impact of specific governance policies on SOE performance. Additionally, while the study emphasizes regulatory frameworks, it does not extensively explore the role of political influence in SOE governance. Further research could investigate the impact of political cycles on regulatory stability and the effectiveness of governance reforms. Lastly, the potential of digital transformation in governance, particularly the integration of AI and data-driven oversight mechanisms, warrants further exploration.

In conclusion, this study provides a comprehensive evaluation of SOE governance, emphasizing the need for regulatory balance and adaptability. By integrating theoretical insights with empirical findings, it contributes to the broader discourse on corporate governance and public sector management. Future research should continue to explore dynamic governance models that accommodate economic, technological, and political shifts, ensuring that SOEs remain effective in fulfilling both economic and public service objectives.

Conclusion

This study underscores the critical need for a balanced governance framework in state-owned enterprises (SOEs), where regulatory oversight ensures accountability without hindering operational efficiency. The findings highlight that excessive state control can lead to bureaucratic inefficiencies, while unchecked corporate autonomy risks financial mismanagement and diminished public accountability. By analyzing various governance models, the research demonstrates that hybrid approaches—incorporating independent oversight, performance-based evaluation, and adaptive regulatory mechanisms—are most effective in ensuring transparency, innovation, and financial stability. These results hold significant implications for policymakers and corporate leaders, emphasizing the necessity of dynamic governance structures that evolve alongside economic and technological advancements. Furthermore, the study identifies key areas for future research, including the long-term impact of regulatory policies on SOE sustainability, the influence of political cycles on governance effectiveness, and the potential role of digital transformation—such as AI-driven compliance and blockchain-based transparency—in enhancing governance efficiency. Addressing these gaps will contribute to a more refined understanding of optimal SOE governance, ensuring their continued effectiveness in both economic development and public service provision.

The list of used literature:

[1] "Assessment of corporate governance frameworks in indian state-owned enterprises," ISJEM Journal. Accessed: Mar. 17, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://isjem.com/download/assessment-of-corporate-governance-frameworks-in-indian-state-owned-enterprises/

[2] M. Pargendler, "State Ownership and Corporate Governance," May 01, 2012, *Social Science Research Network, Rochester, NY*: 1854452. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.1854452.

[3] "State Control and Corporate Governance in Transition Economies: 25 Years on from 1989 - Grosman - 2016 - Corporate Governance: An International Review - Wiley Online Library." Accessed: Mar. 17, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/corg.12145

[4] A. Ochien', G. Abang', N. A. A, D. Wang', and N. A. Ombe, "Theoretical perspective of corporate governance among state-owned enterprises: a literature review," *Int. J. Crit. Account.*, vol. 11, no. 2, p. 160, 2020, doi: 10.1504/IJCA.2020.105065.

[5] Faculty of Economics and Business Administration, Babeș-Bolyai University, Romania, I. A. Ciolomic, I. N. Beleiu, Faculty of Economics and Business Administration, Babeș-Bolyai University, Romania, R. L. Nistor, and Faculty of Economics and Business Administration, Babeș-Bolyai University, Romania, "Theories of corporate governance applied to state-owned enterprises," presented at the International Management Conference, Mar. 2023. doi: 10.24818/IMC/2022/01.15.

[6] A. Adebayo and B. Ackers, "Comparing corporate governance practices of state-owned enterprises (SOEs) in South Africa and Singapore," *J. Account. Invest.*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 170–195, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.18196/jai.v23i1.13830.

[7] "A Survey of the Autonomy, Accountability, Effectiveness and Governance of Slovak State-Owned Enterprises," *Sci. Ann. Econ. Bus.*, vol. 65, no. 4, pp. 427–442, 2018.

[8] "Corporate governance and accountability of state-owned enterprises: Relevance for science and society and interdisciplinary research perspectives | Emerald Insight." Accessed: Mar. 17, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/ijpsm-09-2015-0166/full/html

[9] A. O. Abang'a and D. Wang'ombe, "Theoretical perspective of corporate governance among state-owned enterprises: a literature review," *Int. J. Crit. Account.*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 160–179, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.1504/IJCA.2020.105065.

[10] "State ownership reinvented? Explaining performance differences between state-owned and private firms - Lazzarini - 2018 - Corporate Governance: An International Review - Wiley Online Library." Accessed: Mar. 17, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/corg.12239

[11] "Corporate governance of state-owned enterprises: a systematic analysis of empirical literature | Emerald Insight." Accessed: Mar. 17, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/ijpsm-10-2016-0163/full/html

[12] "Adaptive Governance: An Introduction and Implications for Public Policy." Accessed: Mar. 17, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://ageconsearch.umn.edu/record/10440?v=pdf

[13] "Plataforma Fórum." Accessed: Mar. 17, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.forumconhecimento.com.br/login?return_url=%2Fpesquisa%2Ftodos

[14] "Collaborative Operating Standards: Development Of Dynamic-Adapative Policy Model | Sawala : Jurnal Administrasi Negara." Accessed: Mar. 17, 2025. [Online].

[15] A. J. Kezar and P. D. Eckel, "Meeting Today's Governance Challenges: A Synthesis of the Literature and Examination of a Future Agenda for Scholarship," *J. High. Educ.*, vol. 75, no. 4, pp. 371–399, 2004.